

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,087	02/22/2000	Paul A. Smith	EVS-P-99-017	2705
7:	590 01/28/2002			
Patents+TMS A Professional Corporation 1914 N Milwaukee Avenue			EXAMINER	
			WALCZAK, DAVID J	
3rd Floor Chicago, IL 60647			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5 /			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/510,087	SMITH, PAUL A.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
•	David J. Walczak	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) downwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	September 2001 .					
· — ·	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,13 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 14-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pro- application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et al. (hereinafter Martin). Martin discloses a marking device accessory kit comprised of a marking device 16 having a body defined between first and second ends and a tip capable of producing a mark on the first end an end cap 15 removably attached on the second end of the marking device wherein the end cap is interchangeable between a plurality of marking devices (see lines 82-85) and a connecting lead in the form of a chain 14 having first and second ends wherein the first end extends from the end cap. Although the Martin reference does not disclose that the cahin is removably connected to the end cap, the Examiner takes official notice that chains are commonly employed with removable connectors at the ends thereof in order to enable the chain to be removably connected to an element (attention is directed to page 8, lines 5-7 of the Applicant's response filed 9/21/01 wherein the Applicant admits that such connectors are commonly known). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include such a connector between the chain and the end cap of the Martin device in order to enable the chain to be removably connected to the end cap.

Art Unit: 3751

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 14,17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer in view of Martin. In regard to claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-9, Fischer discloses a marking device having a barrel defined between first and second ends wherein the first end has a tip capable of producing a mark and a sheath in the form of a flat article which wraps around the marking device wherein a "clamp" (the stitching, in as much as the Applicant has defined the term "clamp", the stitching used to clamp the ends of the sheath together, and thereby clamp the sheath to the marking device is considered to be a clamp) which removably secures the flat article around the barrel of the marking device. Although the Fischer reference does not disclose the use of an end cap and chain, as discussed in detail supra, Martin discloses such an end cap and chain for supporting a marking instrument in order to enable the marking instrument to be conveniently stored. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include such an end cap and chain onto the Fisher device in order to enable the device to be conveniently stored. In regard to claim 2, the sheath is cylindrically shaped with an aperture therethrough. In regard to claim 14, there must exist some "tool" which attaches the clamp to the article. In regard to claims 17 and 19, the method as claimed is inherent in the usage of the device discussed supra.

Claims 5, 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer in view of Martin as applied to claims 1, 9 and 17 above, and further in view of the Applicant's disclosure. Although the sheath in the Fischer device is not discloses as having an antibacterial substance thereon, attention is directed to page 7,

Art Unit: 3751

line 17 of the Applicant's disclosure, which discloses that such substances are commonly used to coat surfaces in order to render the surface sanitary. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the sheath in the Fischer device with an antibacterial coating in order to render the device sanitary.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/21/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the Applicant's contention that the chain in the Martin device is not removably attached to the end cap. This argument is rendered moot due to the new grounds of rejection. Regarding the Applicant contention that the Martin reference does not teach a lead which is removably attached to any of a number of surfaces, it is noted that such a limitation has not been claimed (this limitation has been deleted from the claims). Accordingly, such a limitation has not been considered. Regarding the Applicant's contention that there is no suggestion to combine the Martin and Fischer references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, one of ordinary skill in the art, after reviewing both of these references (which both disclose marking

Art Unit: 3751

instruments) would readily recognize that the Fischer marking device can obviously be supported by the chain in the Martin device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 703-308-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW January 25, 2002